

**District Court – State Of North Carolina
Traffic Court,**

-----X
**The People Of The State Of North Carolina
County Traffic District Court**

Petitioner

- Against -

Defendant

**NOTICE OF
MOTION**

SUMMONS NO

-----X
TO THE JUDGES OF DISTRICT TRAFFIC COURT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that on the within affidavit of _____ ,
affirmed and witnessed to on the ___ day of ____, 20___, and upon all the proceedings
heretofore had herein, the undersigned Motion and Supporting Affidavit to Dismiss will move
this court at the courthouse located at the County Courthouse in _____,
_____ County 27253, on the ___ day of _____, 20___, at 9:30 o'clock in
the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as can be heard for an **Order of Dismissal** of the
within Summons of No Seat Belts.

The law requiring the wearing of a seat belt is UnConstitutional because (1) it does not contain a religious exemption; (2) requires people to live in accord with the quasi-religious doctrines of Secular-Humanism which is based upon an anti-Biblical Darwinist philosophy and mindset that inhibits the free exercise of Spiritually-Based religions of an evolved spiritual nature; (3) forcing the defendant into a state-sanctioned role where he must observe and put into practice these quasi-religious practices instituted and enforced by government, the tenets of which he totally rejects; (4) and as a hostile non-believer, the state requires the defendant to adhere to a anti-Biblical mindset and Atheistically based quasi-religious philosophy that has not only been proven counterfeit by modern science, but requires the denial of fundamental religious spiritual beliefs, knowledge and the rejection of the Will of God.

Existing Exemptions Of North Carolina Law: Of interest is the fact that there are already exemptions that exist within the construct of the law, which include *“Persons with a certified phobia of seat belts; Persons tending to a child's personal needs; Situations where all seating positions equipped with seat belts are occupied”* If these exemptions already exist that are not Constitutionally protected by the First Amendment, then they cannot be seen as a higher order than the practice of one's religion. Further, with respect to the safeguarding of the

physical self, it is of further note that North Carolina law permits people not only to ride in the open bed of a pick-up truck, but also permits children under the age of twelve ***“If an adult is present in the bed or cargo area of the vehicle and is supervising the child”*** . An Internet search on children riding in the back of a pickup truck provides factual statistics of alarm. Quoting one article: ***“Any pickup passenger is better protected when riding in the cab of the truck than when riding in the bed”*** (Read more: [Child Safety in Pickup Trucks | eHow.com](http://www.eHow.com)) Which means that if there existed any consistency in the laws, a child in an open bed would be required to wear an approved motorcycle helmet. Again, none of these exemptions to the existing law have a claim of a higher order than that of First Amendment Protection.

QUESTION OF LAW: The question of law that has been set before this court by virtue of this motion and any and all hearings that may proceed in this matter, has already been ruled upon in Fowler vs. Rhode Island when the Court found that it is ***“no business of the courts...”*** or for any secular authority, ***“to say what is a religious practice or activity...”*** (Fowler vs. Rhode Island 345 U.S. 67 [1953]).

Black's Law Dictionary defines Religious Freedom as: ***“Within Constitution embraces not only the right to worship GOD according to the dictates of one's conscience, but also the right to do, or forbearing of which is not inimical to the peace, good order, and morals of society.”***

So the **ONLY** question set before this court must be limited to whether my refusal to wear a seat belt is ***“inimical to the peace, good order, and morals of society”***, and whether the practice of my religion which rejects the competing religion of Secular-Humanism -- a quasi-religious manner of thinking which is founded upon a mindset and Atheist philosophy that rejects the Biblical teaching on Divine Providence, and therefore requires the wearing of a seatbelt -- will bring harm to a person in another car in the event of a collision.

It is a rejection of Constitutional First Principles that the state has cast itself in the role of Big Brother, who is looking out for my well-being. Based upon the right of religious objection, it is already a matter of settled law that the state cannot impose restrictions by virtue of a theoretical concern for my physical well being; and this is demonstrated in the fact that I have the right to reject medical attention, blood, and even immunizations for both my children and myself. In Allanson v. Clinton Central School District (84-CV-174) which was brought on because the Allanson family refused on religious grounds to inoculate their children,

Judge Roger J. Miner of the Northern District of New York stated: *"The resolution of that question is not to turn upon a judicial perception of the particular beliefs or practice in question; religious beliefs need not be acceptable, logical, consistent, or comprehensible to others in order to merit First Amendment protection"*. In the above matter, the New York State immunization law had already been declared unconstitutional because it did not contain a religious exemption, and Judge Miner declared the New York law further unconstitutional because it failed to contain a personal religious exemption -- i.e., requiring a note from a clergy. And while I am an Ordained Clergy and leader of the world-wide Ebionite Nazirene Restoration Movement (see <http://Ebionite.com>), the question at law has already been settled in *Thomas v Review Board* 450 U.S. 707, (1981), where Chief Justice Burger admonished: *"Courts should not undertake to dissect religious beliefs... One can, of course, imagine an asserted claim so bazaar so clearly non-religious in motivation, as not to be entitled to protection under the free exercise clause: but that is not the case here, and the guarantee of free exercise is not limited to beliefs which are shared by all members of a religious sect. The courts are not arbiters of scriptural interpretation.... The essence of law that has been set and written on the subject is that only those interests of the highest order... can overbalance legitimate claims to the free exercise of religion"* (*Thomas vs Review Board* 450 US 707, 718 (1981) accord, *Wisconsin vs. Yoder*, 406 US 205, 215 [1972]; *Sherbert vs Verner*, 374 US 393, 406 [1963]).

The Court has ruled that *"The very purpose of a Bill of Rights was to withdraw certain subjects from the vicissitudes of political controversy, to place them beyond the reach of majorities and officials and to establish them as Legal Principles to be applied by the courts"* (*West Virginia State Board of Education vs Burnette* 319 US 624, 638, [1943]). Therefore, unless it can be demonstrated that my religious objection to wearing a seat belt is *"inimical to the peace, good order, and morals of society"*, then the cause of action against me must be dismissed, and a provision made that acknowledges a religious exemption in the law.

The present North Carolina seat belt law is founded upon a Darwinist mindset, which has evolved into the tenets of a competing religious world-view known as Secular Humanism -- and as such constitutes the embodiment of a quasi-religious mindset that I not only do not ascribe to, but constitutes the forced practice of a religion that is not my own. For at its essence, all religion is a mindset and system of beliefs that are put into practice and acted upon. And in the same way that the U.S. Military has been forced to provide non-traditional clergy who are Pagan and who ascribe to a variety of other earth religions, the religion of Secular

Humanism is founded upon the Darwinist theories and Atheistic mindset which attempts to explain competing and alternate doctrines of (religious) belief which are normally addressed through more traditional religious doctrines. Thus, the Court has already ruled that religion may be any *"sincere and meaningful belief which occupies a place in the believer's life parallel to that filled by orthodox belief in God"* (Welch vs. United States 398 U.S. 333 [1970]; United States vs. Seeger 380 U.S. 163, 166 [1965]). Secular Humanists are therefore sincere in their position that they can explain the tenets of a traditional belief in God and religion, from a purely natural perspective. And while Secular Humanism attempts to portray itself as being based upon scientific fact, I will demonstrate the counterfeit reality of this assertion -- with just the opposite being true in the absolute rejection of modern science.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the attached affidavit by Allan Cronshaw will explain in both religious and scientific terms why there must be a Constitutional exemption provided for the practice of my spiritual religious convictions. Allan Cronshaw (see Who Is Allan Cronshaw at <http://Cronshaw.us>) is an Ordained Clergy of the World Wide Nazirene and Ebionite Restoration Movement (see <http://Ebionite.com>). In a previous lifetime he lived as Jacob who is known as James, the brother of Yeshua who is known as Jesus (see <http://BrotherOfJesus.org> , and he held the position as the first and primary leader of the religion which has come to be known today as Christianity. Allan Cronshaw is an acknowledged scholar, Mystic, Gnostic and acknowledged expert on the origins of Christianity and the tenets of Spiritual Religion.

Quoting from The Witness Of The Disciples (see <http://BrotherOfYeshua.com/#witness>): **It was written as a forewarning that Satan would rise up against the Church, and divert it from its spiritual path as TheWay. In the recently discovered [Gospel of Thomas](#) it is written: (12) *The disciples said to Jesus, "We know that you will depart from us. Who is to be our leader?" Jesus said to them, "Wherever you are, you are to go to James the righteous, for whose sake heaven and earth came into being." And this warning is further stated in the writings known today as the Clementine Recognitions where it is written: "Our Lord and Prophet, who hath sent us, declared to us that the wicked one, having disputed with Him forty days, and having prevailed nothing against Him, promised that he would send apostles from amongst his subjects, to deceive. Wherefore, above all, remember to shun apostle or teacher or prophet who does not first accurately compare his preaching with that of James, who***

was called the brother of my Lord, and to whom was entrusted to administer the church of the Hebrews in Jerusalem, — and that even though he come to you with witnesses: lest the wickedness which disputed forty days with the Lord, and prevailed nothing, should afterwards, like lightning falling from heaven upon the earth, send a preacher to your injury, as now he has sent Simon upon us, preaching, under pretense of the truth, in the name of the Lord, and sowing error. Wherefore He who hath sent us, said, 'Many shall come to me in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves. By their fruits ye shall know them.'"

PLEASE TAKE FUTHER NOTICE, that answering affidavits by the County Attorney are to be properly served upon the defendant at least 12 days prior to any hearings in this matter.

DATED: _____

Yours,

To: County District Attorney

**District Court – State Of North Carolina
Traffic Court,** _____

-----X
**The People Of The State Of North Carolina
Traffic Court**

AFFADAVIT

- Against -

Petitioner

SUMMONS NO

Defendant
-----X

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

ALLAN CRONSHAW JR., duly affirms, deposes and states, that I am the Spiritual Leader of the Nazirene Ebionite Restoration Movement (see Who Is Allan Cronshaw @ <http://Cronshaw.us>); and I make this affidavit in support of a Constitutional exemption from the requirement of wearing a seat belt on religious grounds.

Please Note: This Motion and Affidavit will be uploaded to the World Wide Web at the address [http://Ebionite.com/Universal\)Seat Belt Motion.htm](http://Ebionite.com/Universal)Seat_Belt_Motion.htm) -- and will have all links active to all supporting resources that will be made reference to in this affidavit. Further, opposition to the seatbelt laws was made as early as June, 2004, and the original opposition papers to the seat belt law can be viewed at <http://ebionite.com/seatbelt.htm> .

1. That by way of Motion, the matter was set before the court raising the subject of the Constitutionality of the North Carolina seat belt law on the grounds that it does not contain a religious exemption.
2. That notice was made that the North Carolina seat belt law is founded upon the mindset and thinking associated with the religion of Secular Humanism which attempts to explain the tenets of traditional religion from a non-spiritual system of quasi-scientific beliefs -- and in effect is a total denial of the Biblical mindset associated with both traditional faith-based and spiritually evolved Mystical/Gnostic systems of religious belief and practice.
3. In the body of the Motion, the findings of Judge Roger J. Miner of the Northern District of New York in Allanson v. Clinton Central School District (84-CV-174) ruling that the law was again unconstitutional because it failed to contain a personal religious exemption when he wrote: ***"The resolution of that question is not to turn upon a judicial perception of the particular beliefs or practice in question; religious beliefs need not be acceptable, logical, consistent, or comprehensible to others in order to merit First Amendment protection"***. This ruling by Judge Miner was based upon the ruling of the Supreme Court in Thomas v Review Board 450 U.S. 707, (1981), where Chief Justice Burger admonished: ***"Courts should not undertake to dissect religious beliefs... One can, of course, imagine an asserted claim so bazaar so clearly non-religious in motivation, as not to be entitled to protection under the free exercise clause: but that is not the case here, and the guarantee of free exercise is not limited to beliefs which are shared by all members of a religious sect. The courts are not arbiters of scriptural interpretation.... The essence of law that has been set and written on the subject is that only those interests of the highest order... can overbalance legitimate claims to the free exercise of religion"*** (Thomas vs Review Board 450 US 707, 718 (1981) accord, Wisconsin vs. Yoder, 406 US 205, 215 [1972]; Sherbert vs Verner, 374 US 393, 406 [1963])

4. So as presented in the body of the Motion, the **ONLY** question set before this court must be limited to whether my refusal to wear a seat belt is *“inimical to the peace, good order, and morals of society”*, and whether the practice of my religion which rejects the mindset and Atheist philosophy/theology that has evolved into Secular Humanism which mindset totally rejects the biblical doctrine of Divine Providence, and therefore requires the wearing of a seatbelt. A compelling interest in this matter that would override the First Amendment Protection Clause can only be sustained, if it is demonstrated by the prosecuting attorney that my failure to wear a seatbelt will bring harm to a person in another car in the event of a collision. And only then if the existing exemptions from the law which are named in the Motion Papers -- i.e., *“Persons with a certified phobia of seat belts; Persons tending to a child's personal needs; Situations where all seating positions equipped with seat belts are occupied”* -- are held to a higher order than the First Amendment.

5. **FROM A BIBLICAL PERSPECTIVE:** When biblical scholars go on record and state that the modern Church has virtually nothing in common with the original teachings which came to be known as Christianity (see [The Religion Of Roman Emperors](#)), the facts that confront the seeker of truth is often a conundrum of inconceivable proportions. In view of the fact that these same biblical scholars and authorities themselves only possess a superficial and theoretic understanding of the original teachings -- and have never themselves fulfilled the scriptures within themselves as set forth in the Gospels -- then to ignore what they are stating, is representative of a total rejection of the most important spiritual teachings known to man. Therefore the question must be asked: Is it the Original Jesus you believe in? Or the Jesus created by the Church of Rome? As stated by the biblical scholar and expert, the two are not even similar.

6. It is a fact that modern believers have been so disenfranchised from the core teachings of Jesus and TheWay by the man-made dogma of the fourth century Church of Rome, that they would ignorantly reject these core teachings as heresy. Moreover, the problem has become more complex, because secular authorities have been permitted to define the practice and purpose of religion as pertaining to blind-faith in the unknown -- which means that genuine spiritual religion remains an almost incomprehensible enigma to mankind today. After all, the question would be asked: What could wearing a seat belt possibly have to do with religious faith? While it would be absurd to claim that wearing a seat belt was against the traditional faith-based concept of religion promoted by the Church and defined by the secular state today, a Spiritual Religion on the other hand is supported by a great multitude of disciplines and foundational concepts of teachings that must be recognized as totally foreign to entry-level faith-based religion. And this is especially true of the despiritualized definition of religion which is promoted by the secular state today. So, the question at law then becomes whether only faith-based religion and blind belief is Constitutionally protected, or does the Constitution also protect the tenets and practices of a purely Spiritual Religion? It must be noted that the original Constitutional Framers recognized Spiritual Religion as being superior to traditional faith-based religion, as presented on the American Spirituality website (see <http://ThomasPaineRedux.com>) -- and the whole purpose of barring the state from interfering with religion in the First Amendment, was to protect the tenets of Spiritual Religion, and inhibit government defined religion.

7. In the original Gospel associated with the man Jesus known as TheWay, the objective and purpose of these teachings was to connect the person we are in this world with first our eternal higher soul-self, and ultimately the Indwelling Logos or Mind of God. And to accomplish this, a wholeness of being and an expansion of mind must be brought about that is not at all understood by modern dogmatic faith-based Christianity. And in coming to terms with the possibilities presented, mankind has in fact opened the Pandora's Box of a reality that few comprehend. And when properly analyzed, there are two images of the reality of man -- one is rarely spoken of, and not at all understood. The culturally common perception of man is that he came into being at birth -- that his mind is empty and he is in need of being taught. The other image of the reality of man that is rarely ever mentioned or understood, is to some degree expressed in the words of Jean Piaget who stated: *"When you teach a child something you take away forever his chance of discovering it for himself."*

8. The reality that confronts modern cultural man is this: When you build upon a flawed foundational paradigm, then everything you attempt to build upon that foundation is equally and fatally flawed. In the first image of self that is ascribed to by our majority of religious and philosophical authorities and icons of our very shallow culture, the child's mind is empty and in need of being filled. While in the second image that is rarely ever mentioned or understood, each child is understood to have an innate connection to a Source of Knowledge that greatly exceeds all the avenues of learning available to the child who has undergone the process of being taught in the traditional manner. Which raises the question: If this is true, then what is the Source of this Knowledge? Moreover, if this Source of Knowledge does indeed exist, how can it be accessed? And if it truly does exist, then why can't everybody access it? And thus, we find ourselves confronted by the great looming reality that, when you teach a child through rote memorization and programming in the manner that our religious and educational institutions do today, you forever alienate the child from even the ability to tap into that Inner Source, and truly begin to learn. Which means that part of the process of embracing a genuine spiritual religion, is to undo the harm and spiritual disenfranchisement caused by traditional faith-based religion and our cultural systems of instruction and education. This process of undoing the harmful effects of our traditional religious and educational systems which employ rote programming in their attempt to induce a condition of knowing is perhaps put in its proper perspective by Thomas Jefferson who wrote: : *"Ignorance is preferable to error, and he is less remote from the truth who believes nothing than he who believes what is wrong"* (Notes on Virginia, 1782). Sadly, because our culture has endorsed the wrong foundational paradigm upon which man's consciousness is built and exists, only the most dedicated seekers of Truth are able to undo the harm inflicted upon them by teachers and instructors who believe they are doing good by programming the mind of the child with the existing body of philosophical and religious wisdom of mankind.

9. If the question is posed: Who are we? Jesus taught that we not only have a highly developed pre-existing spiritual nature, but also portrayed us in the words: *"Jesus answered them, Is it not written in your law, 'I said, You are gods '?"* (John 10:34 NKJ). But Jesus also taught that man has a carnal nature that must be transformed -- and unless you loose the self you are in this world, that it will remain impossible for you to gain and enter into the life of the higher reality of the

soul. That the (true) self already exists in a knowing and enlightened state of being -- and that the primary objective of life is to connect and manifest our true self -- is the paramount reality in the words of Jesus as set forth in the [Gospel of Thomas](#): *"...But if you will not know yourselves, you dwell in poverty and it is you who are that poverty"*. The Latin meaning of the word Education is to *"draw out from within"* -- which of course is predicated upon a pre-existing Inner Source of Knowledge and Being -- implying the development of the individual's potential by drawing out what is already there and existing beneath the surface. But herein lies the problem as seen in the fact that there is no place for this inner Source of Knowledge which remains obscured and unknown to our gravely flawed modern cultural understanding. But what it also means is that what we put forth as education today, is in fact in opposition to True Education -- mainly because the philosophical foundational paradigm of self is in conflict and in reverse of our true reality. And thus, what we call education is not only the rote programming of pseudo-education -- but has the effect of totally alienating the child and person from the true learning experience.

10. From an original Gospel perspective, there are two kinds of knowledge -- (1) ordinary human understanding which is learned from other people, and (2) Higher Spiritual Knowledge often portrayed as Divine Manna, that can only be imparted to man directly from God. Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians made reference to *Gnosis Kardias* -- or, the enlightened eyes of the heart. *"I pray also that the eyes of your heart may be enlightened in order that you may know the hope to which he has called you, the riches of his glorious inheritance in the saints"* (Eph 1:18). Thus, the *"eyes of the heart"* lie dormant in the vast majority of people -- primarily because those areas of the mind that possess the innate ability to connect directly to the Logos (Mind of God), remain atrophied and undeveloped. And one of the primary objectives of the original Gospel teachings, was the development and opening of the mind of the seeker/disciple to learn directly from the Indwelling Logos.

11. The word Gnostic is derived from the Greek word *"gnosis"*, which is the term used to both delineate and define experiential spiritual knowledge received directly from God, vs. worldly knowledge derived through human reasoning based upon the natural consciousness of mankind. The word *"gnosis"* is defined as indicating *"...direct spiritual experiential knowledge rather than from rational or reasoned thinking"*. Gnosis cannot be written in a book -- it cannot be taught by another person -- and it has absolutely nothing to do with any historical traditions or alternative scriptures. And without undergoing the transformational process which is portrayed in the scriptures, it is impossible for man in his organic condition of mind to even receive that Spiritual Knowledge which can be portrayed as Gnosis.

12. The objective of all genuine religions is to bring about that condition within the mind and life of the individual where they are able to fulfill the statement in the Gospel of John, and be *"taught by God"* -- i.e., *"It is written in the prophets, 'And they shall all be taught by God.' Therefore everyone who has heard and learned from the Father comes to Me"* (John 6:45 NKJ). From an original Gospel perspective, the historical man Jesus was the first to prevail over the Laws and come to -- and ultimately become ONE -- with the Indwelling Logos (Mind of God). And this wisdom and knowledge of how the believer can gain entrance into the Inner Kingdom, and learn directly from the Indwelling Logos, was suppressed by the

fourth century Pagan Church of Rome. And as a true shepherd of TheWay, Jesus taught his disciples to come to the (indwelling) Logos. And it was for this reason that Jesus commanded his disciples not to be called teacher or rabbi -- i.e., *"But you are not to be called rabbi, for you have One Teacher, and you are all brethren"* (Matt 23:8 RSV) -- and this was commanded, because there is Only One True Teacher which is often called the Logos (Mind of God), and the early followers of Jesus portrayed the Logos as the True Prophet (see <http://TheThreeLies.com#TrueProphet>). And that those lost prodigal sons who look to other men as their teacher, rabbi or leaders, will be greatly deceived and misguided, is why the disciple Peter in the Clementine Homilies declared: *"Hence, O beloved Clement, if you would know the things pertaining to God, you have to learn them from Him alone, because He alone knows the truth. For if any one else knows anything, he has received it from Him or from His disciples"*.

13. The Greek word Christ, or the Hebrew word Messiah, both in English mean the Anointing (of the mind) or Anointed -- which is an Enlightening Power imparted to those who successfully undergo the transformative experience and are able to bring about an inner connection to the Indwelling Logos. And this is why the disciple John explained: *"These things I have written to you concerning those who try to deceive you. But the Anointing which you have received from Him abides in you, and you do not need that anyone teach you; but as the same anointing teaches you concerning all things..."* (1 Jn 2:26-29 NKJ). In order to bring about this Anointing and Enlightening, the intuitive spheres of the mind that lie dormant and atrophied in organic man must be developed and put into primary use. And we can see this reality expressed in an important insight into the very essence, purpose and higher spiritual objectives of the original teachings of TheWay which is contained in an article in the Encyclopedia Britannica under the heading, The History of Christian Mysticism, where it reads: *"Although the essence of mysticism is the sense of contact with the transcendent, mysticism in the history of Christianity should not be understood merely in terms of special ecstatic experiences but as part of a religious process lived out within the context of the Christian community. From this perspective mysticism played a vital part in the early church. Early Christianity was a religion of the spirit that expressed itself in the heightening and enlargement of human consciousness"*. What is expressed in these words is of the utmost importance. Why? Because the original teachings of Jesus was not the blind faith and belief in a prophet or god-man -- but rather, the means to transform all Christian disciples of TheWay into prophets and mystics as demonstrated in the words: *"...From this perspective mysticism played a vital part in the early church. Early Christianity was a religion of the spirit that expressed itself in the heightening and enlargement of human consciousness"*.

14. All of the above original teachings and Gospel concepts are set forth in the profound statement of the disciple Peter as quoted in the Homilies of his disciple Clement where Peter is quoted: *"But I would not have you think, that in saying this I take away the power of judging concerning things; but I give counsel that no one walk through devious places, and rush into errors without end. And therefore I advise not only wise men, but indeed all men who have a desire of knowing what is advantageous to them, that they seek after the true Prophet; for it is He alone who knoweth all things, and who knoweth what and how every man is seeking. For He is within the mind of every one of us, but in those who have no desire of the knowledge*

of God and His righteousness, He is inoperative; but He works in those who seek after that which is profitable to their souls, and kindles in them the light of knowledge. Wherefore, seek Him first of all; and if you do not find Him, expect not that you shall learn anything from any other. But He is soon found by those who diligently seek Him through love of the truth, and whose souls are not taken possession of by wickedness. For He is present with those who desire Him in the innocence of their spirits, who bear patiently, and draw sighs from the bottom of their hearts through love of the truth; but He deserts malevolent minds, because as a prophet He knows the thoughts of every one. And therefore let no one think that he can find Him by his own wisdom, unless, as we have said, he empty his mind of all wickedness, and conceive a pure and faithful desire to know Him. For when any one has so prepared himself, He Himself as a prophet, seeing a mind prepared for Him, of His own accord offers Himself to his knowledge".

15. The implications of the above are so important to all of mankind, that it is the basis of what has been portrayed as The Two Ways -- the narrow way of Life, or the broad-way of death! What it proclaims is that the Logos (Mind of God) exists equally at the deepest core of mind in every person who has ever walked the face of the earth -- and that every person therefore has the potential to tap into this All-Knowing Source of Knowledge and Truth. As stated by Peter in the above, in those who remain carnal and worldly in their thinking, mindset and lifestyle, the Logos remains dormant and *"inoperative"*. And from a Gospel perspective, this would include those who cling to man-made dogma and traditions, as well as a worldly mindset and lifestyle. On the other hand, those few who are willing to seek Truth over dogma -- and desire to live the Truth in word, thought, desire and deed -- will undergo the necessary transformational experiences which will *"...kindle in them the light of knowledge."* In the same way that the Logos remains dormant and *"inoperative"* at the core of those who can be portrayed as organic man, so too does the necessary intuitive and higher spiritual spheres of mind remain undeveloped -- alienating man from the very Source of Knowledge and Ultimate Enlightenment that is his birthright. And this is why the vast majority of people remain totally unaware of the Source of Knowledge that lies dormant deep within their mind and being. When rightly understood, faith in Jesus carries with it the requirement that the seeker/disciple is willing to live in accord with the Gospel teachings, mindset and lifestyle. Which is why Jesus said: *"And why call ye me, Lord, Lord, and do not the things which I say?"* (Luke 6:46 KJV). It is in the doing that the necessary transformation is brought about that is able to consciously connect us with the Indwelling Logos.

16. **The Problem:** If the above is true, then the question should be posed as to why all of mankind does not possess the Great Enlightened Wealth of Knowledge that exists at the very core of their mind and being? What is alienating us from the Higher Consciousness that exists at the very Core of our Mind and Being? The answer is that the mind is divided into two paradoxical groups of spheres -- i.e., paradoxical opposites that see and understand the self and the world from often conflicting views and conflicting realities. The outward looking linear thinking and perception, and the inwardly looking intuitive thinking and perception. And because the vast majority of people's minds are trained to look outwardly as the only real and tangible reality -- with only the outward portrayed as being real and genuine -- the inward looking intuitive remains undeveloped and even atrophied.

Mystics have long warned mankind that the reality of this world of appearances is not at all what it seems to be through the perception of man's physical eyes and senses -- i.e., that there is a great deal more that man's senses and perception cannot detect or even imagine. And this same enigma of the limits of man's physical senses was noted by Albert Einstein who concluded with respect to man's dilemma when he stated: *"The intuitive mind is a sacred gift and the rational mind is a faithful servant. We have created a society that honors the servant and has forgotten the gift."* In view of the fact that our culture neither understands the intuitive spheres of mind -- neither do they understand the Source of knowledge that the intuitive spheres of mind has the potential to tap into -- resulting in that condition whereby mankind has for all intent and purposes shackled himself to a condition of profound self-imposed ignorance with respect to a higher reality that he does not at all understand. And what the Mystic has long warned mankind, is that because of the shackles imposed upon mankind by his traditional religious, philosophical, cultural and educational institutions which *"...have created a society that honors the [rational] servant and has forgotten [and suppressed the development of] the [intuitive] gift"* -- thus, blinding mankind to the underlying cause of all that man sees and experiences in this world, man remains perpetually lost and blind in what Jesus portrayed as the *"outer darkness"* of mind and being (see [Outer Darkness](#)) -- or what the philosopher portrayed in the analogy of the illusions of Plato's Cave (see [Cave](#)) .

17. In order to begin to reverse the negative impact of our culture with respect to the almost total suppression of the intuitive spheres of mind, the person seeking a more Whole and Balanced Vision and Understanding of Life must begin to free the intuitive perception from the shackles imposed upon it by what Einstein portrays as the rational servant, and begin to consciously initiate the development of the intuitive gift. But to accomplish this, the person in pursuit of spiritual balance and wholeness must learn to differentiate and trust the intuitive perception that in many instances appears strange to what Einstein portrays as the linear-servant. With respect to the above statement contained in the article in the Encyclopedia Britannica under the heading, The History of Christian Mysticism, where it reads: *"Although the essence of mysticism is the sense of contact with the transcendent, mysticism in the history of Christianity should not be understood merely in terms of special ecstatic experiences but as part of a religious process lived out within the context of the Christian community. From this perspective mysticism played a vital part in the early church. Early Christianity was a religion of the spirit that expressed itself in the heightening and enlargement of human consciousness"*. it is the ignored intuitive gift that brings about this process of *"...heightening and enlargement of human consciousness"*.

18. The very fact that it was the objective of the original teachings associated with the historical man Jesus known as TheWay, to bring this condition of enlightenment about in the life of the individual Christian believer, means that there exists the inherent potential to manifest this enlightened reality of mind within the individual believer who becomes a disciple



of TheWay in the present. What was true of the first century believer and Christian, can also be true today -- so long as the same mindset and process of development is embraced. Which means that the question then becomes not if -- but rather, how to bring this *"...heightening and enlargement of human consciousness"* about in the life of the believer as he progresses down the path of TheWay? Certainly not through the further development of the mind through what is portrayed as the linear rational servant. In the picture at the right -- *"the frontispiece to her translation of Newton, Émilie du Châtelet appears as Voltaire's muse, reflecting Newton's heavenly insights down to Voltaire"* (see [Voltaire](#)) -- what is personified in the term muse, is always representative of a feminine source of wisdom -- and is very much an allegorical personification of the intuitive aspects of



one's own mind that provides insight and access to the higher source of knowledge and wisdom within one's self. In the image of the three muses at the left, in Greek writings these would be representative of the personification of the intuitive aspects of mind which must be developed in order to begin to tap into and access man's inner Source of Mind and Being. And in this respect such biblical figures as Mary Magdalene is not the personification of an historical person -- but rather, the purification, development and raising up of the (feminine) intuitive aspects of the disciples own mind. In the

Gospels associated with Mary Magdalene it is written: *"She speaks to the other disciples about Jesus and what she's seen, and Peter says, 'I don't believe the Lord said these things. These are certainly strange ideas.' And she says, 'Well, do you think I made them up? Do you think I'm lying about the Lord?'"* In this allegorical representation, the linear-rational aspect of mind is represented in Peter's inability to comprehend what the feminine-intuitive as represented in the allegorical personification of Mary Magdalene reveals. And since these intuitive visions, impressions and wisdom does not support the linear reasoning of the masculine vision of this world and Creation, in most people they are rejected.

19. In the introduction to the book [Secrets of Mary Magdalene](#), Prof. Elaine Pagels writes and quotes the Gospel of Philip: *Simultaneously, the Gospel of Philip celebrates Mary Magdalene as manifesting the divine spirit, which this gospel calls the "virgin who came down" from heaven. When Christians spoke of Jesus "born from a virgin," this author agrees -- but refuses to take it literally. So some people, he says, take this literally to mean that Jesus' mother became pregnant apart from any man, apart from sexual intercourse. But this, he says, is the "faith of fools" who fail to comprehend spiritual matters (although, as we note, it can be seen in the birth narratives offered in the New Testament gospels of Matthew and Luke). Instead, continues the Gospel of Philip, Jesus was born physically, just as all humans, as the son of biological parents. The difference, says the author of this gospel, that he was also "born again" in baptism--born spiritually to become the son of the Father above, and of the heavenly Mother, the Holy Spirit.* That one of the primary objectives of this life is to bring about and achieve the next stage of birth -- a non-physical birth which is allegorically portrayed as a [Virgin Birth](#) -- should be of primary importance to all people. Why? Because what is portrayed in the allegory of the [Virgin Birth](#) is not a faith-based belief in the supernatural -- but rather, part of the

process of the threefold birth that every person who has ever walked the face of the earth must experience within themselves in pursuit of their ultimate destiny.

20. In the same way that Peter is representing the (male) linear-rational spheres of mind states of the intuitive visions and wisdom of Mary Magdalene: "*...These are certainly strange ideas*", it is a fact that it is the lopsided development of what Einstein portrayed as the linear-rational servant that inhibits the development of the intuitive-gift -- i.e., as seen in Einstein's statement that "*The only thing that interferes with my learning is my education.*" Without the balanced development of the intuitive, the linear-rational which only senses outwardly, arrives at a point where it becomes what can be portrayed as flat-lined -- which in turn inhibits the further development of the linear rational servant from itself maturing beyond organic limitations. And herein lies the great obstacle to human development that linear-intellectuals don't at all understand -- i.e., the reality that the linear programming of the mind which is the agenda of our educational systems -- including the reading of books and traditional study -- only serves to inhibit the development of the intuitive spheres of mind -- and it is the lack of balance between the inward looking intuitive and the outward looking linear-rational that inhibits the development of the mind beyond a certain very shallow organic physical level of maturity. Further, what we are presented with are a number of paradoxes that have confronted man since he first stepped foot on the earth. Thus, these proverbial questions must be confronted: Is the mind of a child empty? Or, does a great source of knowledge already exist within the mind of the child that must be tapped into? Do you instruct a child through rote programming in the manner of our educational systems today? Or do you guide the child into an environment of self-discovery that enables them to tap into the Inner Source of Knowledge?

21. The problem is seen in the fact that the linear-rational servant can only look outwardly -- and not only remains totally ignorant of an inner reality that it is blind to -- but it demands that all of mankind ignore and even reject the higher causal reality that can only be sensed and understood through the development of the intuitive centers of mind. Like the tip of the proverbial iceberg, what is observed protruding out of the water is very small in relation to the immense body of ice that is beyond man's normal scope of vision lying beneath the water line. And because our culture and linear learning environment actually inhibits the development of the intuitive, it remains atrophied and latently embryonic and immature. This is seen in the fact that when the leaders of the Jews (Pharisees) asked Jesus when the Kingdom of God would come upon the earth in the manner that they believed it would, he told them that it would never come upon the earth so as to be seen with their eyes (that look outwardly), because the Kingdom already exists within them (see <http://GateOfEden.com>) -- and it is accessed through the development of the intuitive spheres of mind that are positioned to look inwardly -- which then must be balanced with the outward looking rational linear.

22. **Man's Inner Intuitive Vision Of Reality:** Our linear driven culture is so all encompassing and restrictive, that the intuitive mind of the vast majority of people is very much as a woman who has been shackled in a dungeon -- programmed and brainwashed to recite answers from a foreign linear perspective -- and inhibited from any real development. The result is that the intuitive spheres of mind of the vast majority of people is dysfunctional with respect to its natural

abilities and strengths. Which not only has inhibited the development of the intuitive half of man's consciousness, but has also mentally flat-lined the linear spheres of man's consciousness by virtue of it being cut off from the person's own higher reality of mind and being. Why is the linear mentally flat-lined in its development, as a direct result of the shackling of the intuitive by our left-brained linear restrictive reasoning that totally inhibits the development of the intuitive spheres of mind which the linear is dependent upon for higher insight and vision? Quoting from the subheading entitled [The Opening And Unlocking Of The Mind](#):

23. A recently published book by William A. Donius entitled [Thought Revolution: How to Unlock Your Inner Genius](#), not only provides a degree of confirmation for what is presented in the subheading entitled [Intuitive Mind vs Linear-Rational](#) -- and I point out this book especially because of an important critical review that appears on the Amazon.com web site. The book description reads: *Thought Revolution invites readers to unlock their whole brain to change the way they see themselves and find the truth in whatever challenges them. Encompassing twelve years of research, the book takes readers on an unexpected journey that will set them free-and it all begins with moving the pen from one hand to the other. The technique is called "intuitive" or "nondominant" hand writing and is the basis for this revolutionary approach to making lasting life changes. (Paragraph 2) Tapping the non dominant subconscious right brain through nondominant hand writing, or drawing, can act as a direct pipeline to one's inner truth, open up creative problem-solving abilities, and help people think outside the box. Others have reported improvements in relationships, reduction in stress, better physical health, discovery of hidden talents, deeper spiritual awareness, healing from trauma, breakthroughs in career, accessing inner wisdom, and connection with a higher power. (Paragraph 3) Inside Thought Revolution William A. Donius offers the science behind nondominant hand writing and teaches readers ow to incorporate nondominant hand writing in their own lives. Thought-provoking, easy-to-do exercises and prompts help readers connect mnore fully with the needs of their subconscious right brains and unlock their hidden genius.*

24. In the article entitled [The Cross And The Seat Belt](#), I explore the reality that while from a purely linear perspective it makes perfect sense to wear a seat belt. I then demonstrate that what appears on the surface to be purely rational thinking, in fact inhibits the development of the intuitive which is of a primary importance in the development of the mind of the spiritual seeker. In a subheading of [The Cross And The Seat Belt](#) article entitled [Directed Impressions](#), I explore the reality that you not only have the innate ability to intuitively sense impending events, but by developing the intuitive spheres of mind and then learning how to project one's thoughts to others, that in many instances you can actually avert what the linear-minded people portray as accidents. But I quoted the above book review specifically because of a customer review by Gary J. Belis on the Amazon.com web site which states: *I have just finished reading this book online, and I must say the title does not capture its essence. It should be called "Lies Your Left Brain Tells You." I have tried writing answers to key questions with my nondominant hand as the book recommends, and it is truly amazing just what my underutilized right brain wants to tell me. The book is an easy read, written in an engaging manner. That title led me to believe it was going to be a boring textbook. It isn't. Probably a lie told by my left brain.* And another related review by B. "Cat" Morrow further examines a reality

that few understand: *I must admit when I first began reading this I couldn't understand how we could be so adept at telling ourselves lies, AND believing them. However as I kept reading and worked through the exercises provided I realized this is indeed true. It will take courage to face self-examination, and accept you may be believing things that aren't true, but for those that find the courage to do the work, and follow this line of thinking, there are some amazing revelations in store!* And again in the Product Description on the [Amazon UK](#) web site, the crux of the problem is again presented: *The Thought Evolution, applies the science to help us learn to think differently. The book cites Donius' experience over the past twelve years and with hundreds of interview subjects in demonstrating how to enable the creative, intuitive right hemisphere to weigh in on our thought processes to help us better understand the obstacles we face and even the lies we may be telling ourselves. Learning to tap into the right brain is similar to learning a new language. But, it is far simpler! Find out what awaits in the subconscious.*

25. Again we observe the reality portraying what the linear-rational mind perceives and understands as a lie -- at least from a right brain perspective. In the above noted opposition to first the New York, and then North Carolina seat belt laws as presented in the case of [The Cross And The Seat Belt](#), when I attempted to present the case that the linear perspective of accidents presented such an incomplete picture of man's true reality, what I presented was immediately dismissed -- and that what I was stating was some sort of cultish mumbo jumbo that made absolutely no sense. Why? Because the reality that I was presenting was totally inconceivable to the linear-rational minds of the judges, lawyers and court officers. And while I eventually prevailed in my opposition to the law, my victory was founded upon Constitutional mandates that the court did not want to oppose. And sadly, in many respects, this has been the plight of women who by virtue of the feminine powers of mind, are far more intuitive than their male counterparts -- as presented throughout the article [The Divine Marriage](#).

26. The proper development of the mind to eclipse what can be called organic human limitations, is dependent upon the interaction of the linear and intuitive spheres of mind. But when the intuitive perception is inhibited, and development is suppressed, even the linear is flat-lined from any development beyond what is portrayed in the Gospels as carnal and restricted consciousness. The linear mind of man remains limited in its development, because the intuitive remains infantile and shackled in a captive environment where it is only permitted to express itself in linear terms. While the inward looking intuitive has the potential to access higher consciousness and states of mind and being, it is unable to arise to its intended potential because of the shackles placed upon it by a thoroughly domineering and controlling linear culture. Like a woman who in many Islamic cultures are forced to dwell in an imprisoned world beneath a Burka -- not permitted to openly express herself except when accompanied by a male relative -- totally deprived of the ability to openly speak on her own behalf -- the natural expression of the intuitive mind has been so impeded by our linear-driven culture, that it has remained undeveloped and unreliable as a resource (see [Opening And Unlocking the Mind](#)).

27. **The New Paradigm Of Scientific Reality That Proves The Vision Of The Mystic:** While the Intuitive spheres of mind which are inward looking is designed to access man's higher mental and spiritual levels of mind, this intuitive

vision is necessary for the linear to gain greater insight and begin to develop beyond organic limitations. Man's true self or Soul-Self (see SoulSelf.org) dwells in a realm where all the events and things that we experience are seen in their true reality. Every event which man ignorantly portrays as an accident, is foreseen and understood within the higher construct of life. And while it is true that the undeveloped intuitive spheres of mind are at best infantile in their vision and perspective of life, it is also true that when the intuitive spheres are permitted to develop, that all events that we ignorantly portray as accidents can be foreseen and overcome. Our lives have been orchestrated and laid out like a labyrinth where we learn and mature through the series of experiences which we encounter. All dangers and obstacles can be foreseen, if we permit ourselves to properly develop our inner resources of mind. A wise man very quickly becomes aware of the ability of his intuitive spheres of mind to gain insight into a higher reality than what he outwardly sees with his physical senses. Again, in the words of Einstein: *"The intuitive mind is a sacred gift and the rational mind is a faithful servant. We have created a society that honors the servant and has forgotten the gift."* In the subheading [The Scientific Refutation Of Darwin](#) (below), it is demonstrated using the findings of modern physicists that this world is not what it appears through the linear vision of the mind -- and that the Source of all that we see and experience -- as well as our own higher reality -- is in what the scientist portrays as the (Etherc) Field which is the unseen forces that form matter as what is portrayed as a *"blemish"* in the intersecting forces. And it is the intuitive spheres of mind that is able to gain access into what exists in the (Etherc) Field that is the source of all that we see and experience. Therefore, when the linear suppresses the development of the intuitive, the result is the person becomes lost and disoriented while attempting to navigate through the labyrinth and pathways of life.

28. Einstein experienced shock when he first came into contact with the new reality of atomic physics that was presented to him, and wrote in his autobiography: *"All my attempts to adapt the theoretical foundation of physics to this [new type of] knowledge failed completely. It was as if the ground had been pulled out from under one, with no firm foundation to be seen anywhere, upon which one could have built"*. In much the same fashion, the European physicist Niels Bohr stated that *"... The great extension of our experience in recent years has brought to light the insufficiency of our simple mechanical conceptions and, as a consequence, has shaken the foundation on which the customary interpretation of observation was based."* These *"... mechanical conceptions"* make reference to Darwin and the Theory of Evolution which is opposite and in conflict with man's true reality.

29. **The Universe is a Great Mind:** In his 1951 textbook on quantum theory, Bohm offered some interesting speculations on the analogies between quantum processes and thought processes, thus carrying further the celebrated statement made by the astronomer James Jeans two decades earlier: *"Today there is a wide measure of agreement... that the stream of knowledge is heading towards a non-mechanical reality; the universe begins to look more like a great thought than like a great machine"*; and the statement by astronomer Arthur Eddington: *"The stuff of the universe is mind-stuff"*. More recently, cyberneticist David Foster described *"an intelligent universe"* whose apparent concreteness is generated by cosmic data from an unknowable, organized source. The holographic reality says that: *"... our brains mathematically construct hard reality by interpreting frequencies from a dimension*

transcending time and space. The brain is a hologram, interpreting a holographic universe."

30. In his book, Urbausteine der Materie, physicist Walter Thirring wrote about matter that modern physics *"...has put our thinking about the essence of matter in a different context. It has taken our gaze from the visible - the particles - to the underlying entity, the field. The presence of matter is merely a disturbance of the perfect state of the field at that place; something accidental, one could almost say, a 'blemish'. Accordingly, there are no simple laws describing the forces between elementary particles... Order and symmetry must be sought in the underlying field."*

31. That part of the mind that is designed to access what exists in what the physicist portrays as the (Ethereic) Field, is the Intuitive spheres of mind. In the words of Einstein: *"We may therefore regard matter as being constituted by the regions of space in which the field is extremely intense... There is no place in this new kind of physics both for the field and matter, for the field is the only reality"* (quoted in M. Capek, The Philosophical Impact of Contemporary Physics). In his Preface to Stalking The Wild Pendulum by Itzhak Bentov, William A. Tiller, Materials Sciences Department, Stanford University writes: *"The present scientific establishment has grown somewhat fossilized by its current world picture and is locked into a view of reality that has outlived its usefulness. It has begun to limit mankind's growth and has so increased its sense of specialization, separateness, materiality, and mechanical computer-like functioning that it is in real danger of self-extermination"*. Yet this fossilized science is still being promoted among our school children -- it is the basis upon which the thinking of the seat belt laws are built upon -- it remains as the founding principle upon which our culture is based - - it is being used to inhibit both religion and freedom in our present-day culture -- and has greatly limited the ability of man to function in his true multidimensional and spiritual reality.

32. Without insight into the causal source in what the physicist and mystic portrays as the (Ethereic) Field, the linear mind remains blind to man's higher reality. In the above words of physicist Walter Thirring: *"...The presence of matter is merely a disturbance of the perfect state of the field at that place; something accidental, one could almost say, a 'blemish'. Accordingly, there are no simple laws describing the forces between elementary particles... Order and symmetry must be sought in the underlying field."* Which means that the paramount question that emerges in this more enlightened paradigm of man's reality that has rendered both Darwinism and his traditional fossilized mindset that what you see with your physical sense is the only reality that exists, as an intellectual anchor that has shackled man's traditional perception of reality in the dark ages. Jesus warned that men of a natural organic mindset dwelt in what he portrayed as the *"outer darkness"* of mind and being (see [Outer Darkness](#)) -- while the parallel analogy of [Plato's Cave](#) portrays what organic man thinks he sees with his physical senses and linear mindset, as mere shadow reflections which are being danced on the walls of the Cave he is unknowingly imprisoned in. Modern physicists have proven this to be true -- with reality existing in the unseen Source of the Field, and the shadow images that man imagines is real being what is portrayed by the physicist as *a 'blemish'* that is formed by the intersecting forces within the (Ethereic) Field. Which emerging reality confirms the statement of the Apostle to *"...look not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen: for the things which are seen are*

temporal; but the things which are not seen are eternal" (2 Cor 4:18 KJV). Which provides a whole new dimension of reality to the statement in the Gospel of Thomas: (5) Jesus said, "*Recognize what is in your sight, and that which is hidden from you will become plain to you ...*" The problem is that this unseen reality that exists in the (Etheric) Field, can only be perceived by the intuitive spheres of mind - and so long as this intuitive insight is being suppressed, the linear remains incapable of understanding what is seen as it looks out into the outer world. This condition of profound blindness with respect to the causal factors of life, is the cause of all human ignorance, sectarian and secular conflict -- as well as all human suffering and pain. Which is the absolute fulfillment of the reality in the words: "*If anyone has an ear, let him hear. He who leads into captivity shall go into captivity...*" (Rev 13:9-10 NKJ). Because the linear-minded culture inhibits the intuitive from its natural functioning and development, the linear is itself inhibited from developing beyond a very carnal level of being.

33. **The Effects Of Wearing A Seat Belt:** The only mean by which mankind can move beyond his present limitations and struggles with the unknown, is to gain access to the Source of what he observes and interacts with in the world which is in what the mystic and scientist affirm as being the (Etheric) Field that surrounds all matter that we observe with our physical senses. But to accomplish this, man must develop ways to effectively use his suppressed Intuitive spheres of mind. Which means that to even begin to tap into the unseen Source, we must move beyond our traditional behaviors, mindset and perception of reality, and begin to embrace untraditional ways that permit the development and use of our suppressed Intuitive facilities of mind. If what man presently portrays as accidents, can indeed be perceived prior to the event taking place -- and thus, be avoided -- then we will have eliminated the massive suffering and even death that is the result of these episodes of human existence. And therefore, if the witness of both the Mystic and the emerging scientific evidence is true -- and reality must be sought in the unseen (Etheric) Field as the Source of all events that transpire -- then it becomes imperative for man to begin to develop and evolve those Intuitive spheres of mind that has been abandoned and left atrophied by the linear-only elements of our modern culture. Therefore, it becomes absolutely necessary to stimulate and permit the inward looking Intuitive spheres of mind to develop and bring vision and balance to the outward looking Linear-Rational spheres of mind, it is imperative that faith and trust be exercised as a matter of not only religious/spiritual development, but also of all people who want to understand the true realities of life. If it is true that all the answers to man's earthly reality lies just beyond the natural limitations of his linear vision and traditional mode of thinking -- and with much work and effort, these answers to man's earthly dilemma can be revealed and understood -- then any attempt to impede this necessary development of the Intuitive spheres of mind must be seen as an abject rejection of the Rights of Man as objectified and declared in our Constitutional form of government.

34. **The question then becomes:** How do you prove to others that you have the ability to foresee and precognitively detect an event in the physical that is about to transpire? To the linear-thinking people in our society, it is virtually impossible to prove anything of a deeper perceptive reality that they remain virtually blind to by their very mindset and manner of thinking. So the question then must be asked:

Must all of mankind be barred from developing these facilitates of mind that the mystic states all of mankind innately possesses? Must we remain hostage to left-brained linear thinkers who have shackled themselves to a fossilized dogmatic reality that has been proven to be in grave error? In the words of William A. Tiller, Materials Sciences Department, Stanford University where he writes: *"The present scientific establishment has grown somewhat fossilized by its current world picture and is locked into a view of reality that has outlived its usefulness. It has begun to limit mankind's growth and has so increased its sense of specialization, separateness, materiality, and mechanical computer-like functioning that it is in real danger of self-extermination"*. Yet this fossilized science known as Darwinism which is being referenced, is still being promoted among our school children -- it is the basis upon which the thinking of the seat belt laws are built upon -- it remains as the founding principle upon which our culture is based -- and its spurious tenets of philosophy are being used to inhibit both religion and freedom in our present-day culture -- and has greatly limited the ability of man to function in his true multidimensional and spiritual reality. Without insight into the causal source in what the physicist and mystic portrays as the (Ethereic) Field, the linear mind remains blind to man's higher reality. In the above words of physicist Walter Thirring: . *"...The presence of matter is merely a disturbance of the perfect state of the field at that place; something accidental, one could almost say, a 'blemish'. Accordingly, there are no simple laws describing the forces between elementary particles... Order and symmetry must be sought in the underlying field."* If the Source of all that we see, and all the events that transpire in our lives, exists in the unseen (Ethereic) Field -- and we choose not to develop those areas of mind that permit us to see and observe the intersecting forces in the Field that produce the effects that we experience in the physical realm -- then we are little more than ostriches who hid our heads in the sand so as not to observe the impending dangers that are threatening to overcome us.

35. With respect to the question of how does one prove a higher reality that a large segment of mankind remains in denial of? Or are too complacent in their old and traditional ways to embrace? In most instances, it remains virtually impossible to prove anything to anyone -- especially when they have no reason to think differently than they do at present. I, personally, have had a license for fifty (50) years -- and during that period wherein I not only drove a car and motorcycle, but also a telephone company van around New York City, I have never once needed either a seat belt or a helmet. Yet, there have been countless accidents that I have avoided because of an intuitive driven precognitive perception of the events that could have transpired. Many times escaping a collision that could have easily resulted in my own death. In like manner, throughout my massive collection of writings that are studied by spiritual seekers world-wide (see <http://MasterIndex.Nazirene.org>), I reveal the higher realities and mysteries of life that exist no where else. And the fact that others have used these writings to prove in their own lives the higher spiritual truth that the vast majority of mankind remains in denial of, is meaningless to those who have been flat-lined and shackled by fossilized linear thinking. It is a fact that throughout our educational curriculums we still promote Darwinism which states that the natural physical world came into existence without and totally apart from any unseen forces -- and yet, we continue to teach young impressionable minds what the modern physicist has already proven to be junk-science based upon a bogus fraud. And as stated by

the scientist above with respect to the Darwinist mindset upon which our modern culture has been erected: *"it has grown somewhat fossilized by its current world picture and is locked into a view of reality that has outlived its usefulness. It has begun to limit mankind's growth and ...[had caused mankind to be] in real danger of self-extermination"*. Yet, it is government which has forced this fossilized mindset out of the intellectual dark ages upon mankind that is presently threatening his very existence.

36. **Communicating With Our Actions:** While we have all heard about the predicted Second Coming and End Times, what remains generally unacknowledged is the fact that Jesus taught that the End Times will never come upon the earth as men predict -- because the Kingdom is within you (see [Third Fatal Mistake](#)) -- and it must therefore come within you. And while many of the important Gospel teachings on this subject was removed by the Church of Rome (see <http://BibleCorruption.com>), they have been faithfully preserved in a number of Epistles and Scriptures. Thus, the Second Epistle Of Clement quotes the pre-corrupted Gospels with respect to the Second Coming within the disciple: *"Let us expect, therefore, hour by hour, the kingdom of God in love and righteousness, since we know not the day of the appearing of God. For the Lord Himself, being asked by one when His kingdom would come, replied, 'When two shall be one, that which is without as that which is within, and the male with the female, neither male nor female'"*. Which provokes the question as to the meaning of the words: *"...and the male with the female, neither male nor female"'*? This statement is further presented in the [Gospel of Thomas saying 22](#) where the question is posed: (22) *Jesus saw infants being suckled. He said to his disciples, "These infants being suckled are like those who enter the kingdom." They said to him, "Shall we then, as children, enter the kingdom?" Jesus said to them, "When you make the two one, and when you make the inside like the outside and the outside like the inside, and the above like the below, and when you make the male and the female one and the same, so that the male not be male nor the female female; and when you fashion eyes in the place of an eye ...then will you enter the kingdom."* Thus, only in a state of absolute Oneness can the balance of man's duality be portrayed as *"...the male not be male nor the female female"*. The necessary spiritual environment for the foregoing teachings to be brought about in the life of the disciple/seeker is [The Divine Marriage](#) where the man and woman enter into a Spiritual Covenant and create within themselves the Living Divine Temple. Which is why Jesus taught that a man who even looks upon a woman other than his wife with lust, has already committed adultery -- and no valid marriage can even exist in an adulterous relationship. But as set forth in the foregoing, in order to fulfill the Gospel purpose and objectives, the balance and Oneness of the duality of male/female must be brought about in the seeker's inner and outer life -- with reference being made to the above words: *"...and when you fashion eyes in the place of an eye"*, which is to the development of the necessary Intuitive Perception which is feminine in nature -- and it is the balance of the Linear (male) and Intuitive (feminine) which provides the seeker/disciple perceptive vision into the Causal (Etheric) Field that is the True Source of all that we see and experience in this world.

37. Without the developmental opening of the Intuitive -- and the holographic balance that initiates the necessary expansion of mind -- which Paul portrays above as *Gnosis Kardias* -- or, the opening of the enlightened eyes of the heart -- then

mankind remains virtually blind to the causal factor of all the events in his life. Because he remains blind to the causal factors in the (Ethereic) Field, he attributes much of his misfortune to accidents, bad luck, or even the Will of God. Yet, if he had permitted those areas of mind to develop that Intuitively looks within -- and is able to perceive the causal factors which the mystic and scientist portrays as the Field -- then he would perceive the Source with his eyes of the heart -- and being able to foresee the events that transpire in his life, he would be able to act accordingly. Moreover, as the person's mind becomes Anointed and Enlightened, and they begin to tap into the Source which Jesus portrayed as the Indwelling Logos, blind belief fades as the mind of the seeker becomes nourished by the Divine Manna of the Kingdom.

38. When rightly understood, donning a seat belt could be viewed as being synonymous with putting a Burka on the Intuitive spheres of mind. The Apostle Paul taught that man is saved by faith in the Gospel message -- and that faith is based upon the reality that if you live in accord with the Gospel teachings -- embracing Truth over man-made dogma -- living the Consecrated life as Jesus and the original disciples taught -- that you will bring about and achieve the next stage of birth, resulting in the Kingdom will coming within you. But this requires absolute faith in the process that opens the inner "*narrow strait gate*" which permits the Kingdom to come within the believer. But this objective of life will remain an elusive impossibility, so long as you remain blind to the Source of all things that exist in what the mystic and scientist portray as the (Ethereic) Field which surrounds all matter, and is the Source of what we see and experience. It is impossible to put faith in the Spiritual Process of Enlightenment as revealed in the Gospels with respect to the Kingdom coming within one's mind and being, while embracing the Atheist mindset whereby the events that transpire in this world are seen as accidents, luck, or random events that cannot be foreseen.

39. From the perspective of the original teachings of Jesus known as TheWay which was observed by his Nazirene Ebionite disciples, in addition to all of the above, it must also be understood that the original followers and disciples of Jesus lived the necessary Consecrated Life (see <http://TheConsecratedLife.com>), in strict accord with The Nazirene Vow (see <http://TheConsecratedLife.com#NazireneVow>). This fact is also important and relevant because as demonstrated at the subheading, Achieving Higher Consciousness (see <http://TheConsecratedLife.com#unity>), the vegetarian pure diet is necessary to permit the expansion of mind to take place (see <http://TheConsecratedLife.com#mysteries>), and the hair and beard especially on a man, is in fact the nerve endings that are the gateway between the physical organic mind and the higher consciousness of man that is in the Etheric Field. Which means that when a person conforms to our culture in both diet, and the cutting of their hair and the shaving or trimming of their beard, they are in fact severing the nerve endings that in conjunction with the other above means of expansion and development of mind, opens the door of high-level communication between the person we are in this world, and the all-knowing higher soul-self, as well as the Indwelling Logos (Mind of God).

40. Man has been commanded not to kill -- and if he is to once again return and dwell in the Paradise of the Edenic Kingdom, to do no harm. And what few people today realize is the fact that the Disciples of Jesus who were of the Nazirene Vow of

Consecration -- a Holy people who were set aside and touched not the dead -- not only condemned animal sacrifice, but were all vegetarians. It is written of Jacob who people call James, the brother of Yeshua who is known today as Jesus -- and Jacob being the first leader of the Jerusalem New Covenant Community, that *"He drank no wine or strong drink, nor did he eat meat"* (Eusibius; Church History). Of the disciple Matthew it is recorded: *"Accordingly, the apostle Matthew partook of seeds, and nuts, and vegetables, without flesh"* (Clement of Alexandria; The Instructor). And in the writings of his disciple Clement, Peter is quoted as stating that *"He drank no wine or strong drink, nor did he eat meat."* Epiphanius wrote that the Ebionites claimed that the disciple Peter *"...abstained from that which had life in it and from meats, even as they do; and from all other food prepared from flesh"* (Panarion 30.15.3 Bk I, Sec. II). Thomas is spoken of as eating only bread and water (The Acts of Thomas). While modern Christians don't understand why, there are very important spiritual reasons why the Brother and Disciples of Jesus were all vegetarians. The oldest known complete copy of our Gospels is called the Evangelion Da - Mepharresh and in it we find Jesus saying at Luke 21:34: *"See that you do not make your minds heavy, to do this, never eat meat or drink wine."*

41. Christianity was intended to be the Light of the world. But in order for this Light to Manifest, there must exist a body of believers who have themselves travailed in TheWay, and become the Light of their Soul and Spiritual Natures. The wearing of a seat belt is drawn from a mindset that is repressive to bringing about the necessary Wholeness and Expansion of Mind, that will permit this next stage of birth to be brought about. And it is this same repressive mindset that is ultimately responsible for all human ignorance, suffering, poverty and conflict in the world today. Therefore, to reject wearing a seat belt is to reject this spiritually repressive mindset that inhibits man's growth and development -- alienating him from his higher Soul-Self -- spiritually disenfranchising him is what is portrayed as a prisoner in Plato's Cave of Illusions (see <http://DivineStrategery.com#Plato>) -- and dwelling in what Jesus portrayed as the *"outer darkness"* of mind and being (see <http://DivineStrategery.com#OuterDarkness>). Those who Jesus portrayed as dwelling in the *"outer darkness"* of mind and being, are representative of the lost prodigal sons who have squandered away their vital life-force (inheritance) on riotous (carnal) living and moral abandonment. Because these people lack the bio-energy and consciousness to develop the higher centers of mind, they remain totally carnal in their thinking, mindset and lifestyle. From the perspective of a seat belt, because they remain blind to the causal forces in the (Ethereic) Field, it would be better for them to wear a seat belt.

Dated: June 3rd, 2014

Allan Cronshaw Jr.
PO Box 780, Graham, NC 27253